



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/993,626		11/27/2001	Kiyohiro Yokoyama	2001_1766A	1_1766A 8244	
513	7590	09/29/2004		EXAMINER		
WENDEROTH, LIND & PONACK, L.L.P. 2033 K STREET N. W.				LEFLORE, LAUREL E		
SUITE 800	LLIN,	vv .		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
WASHING	INGTON, DC 20006-1021			2673		
				DATE MAILED: 09/29/2004	DATE MAILED: 09/29/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)						
Advisory Action	09/993,626	YOKOYAMA ET AL.						
Advisory Addon	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Laurel E LeFlore	2673						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address								
THE REPLY FILED 05 August 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applica) a timely filed amendment which I (with appeal fee); or (3) a timel	ation. A proper reply to a n places the application in	ued					
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]							
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The ree have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of the under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of 2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Officimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of 2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Officimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of 2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Officimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of 2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Officimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment.	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the mai	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MI R 1.136(a) and the appropriate of the fee. The appropriate originally set in the final Office a	PEP extension extension action; or					
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR								
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		:					
(a) \square they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b								
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in its issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifyi	ng the					
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ing a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claims.						
NOTE:								
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	tion(s):	t						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed amen	dment					
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: Se		dered but does NOT plac	e the					
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bed raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newl	ly					
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we)					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:								
Claim(s) allowed:		·						
Claim(s) objected to:								
Claim(s) rejected:								
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			•					
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	roved or b) disapproved by t	he Examiner.						
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	·	*.					
10. Other:	,							
			6 A					

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

Applicant argues on pages 2-3 of of the Request for Reconsideration that the definition of what the dynamic range corresponds is an art recognized definition. Examiner agrees and thus withdraws the 35 U.S.C. 122, second paragraph, new matter rejection of claim 39.

Applicant argues on pages 3-4 of the Request for Reconsideration that "nowhere in Nishijima et al. are hygroscopic particles discussed or disclosed." However, Nishijima et al. discloses an adhesive mixed with hygroscopic particles. The hygroscopic mixture is the moisture sensing resistive substance of Nishijima's invention, as disclosed in column 6, lines 43-47. Here he further discloses that the moisture resistive substance is "capable of changing an electrical resistance...by absorbing a moisture." The adhesive properties of the moisture sensing resistive substance are inherent as evidenced by column 5, lines 32-34, which disclose a suggestion for increasing the adhesion of the moisture sensing resistive substance to the fiber surface.

The Examiner would like to further point to the definition of "hygroscopic" from the American Heritage College Dictionary, fourth edition, which defines "hygroscopic" as "Readily absorbing moisture, as from the atmosphere". Thus, the hygroscopic property of Nishijima's moisture resistive substance is disclosed in its capability of "absorbing a moisture", which is synonymous with "hygroscopic". Further, it is

inherent that a hygroscopic substance has hygroscopic particles.

BIPIN SHALWALA SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600